

**ARBORICULTURAL  
IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT**

Land West of Boxted

October 2023



**Barton Hyett Associates**  
Arboricultural Consultants

Summary table		
Site Name:	Land West of Boxted	
Project reference:	4890	
Site Address:	Boxted, Suffolk	
Nearest Postcode:	IP29 4JR	
Central Grid reference:	TL 82155 50878	
Local Planning Authority:	Babergh District Council	
Relevant planning policies:	Babergh District Council and Mid Suffolk District Council Joint Local Development Scheme 2022-2025	
Statutory Controls:	Tree Preservation Order	Conservation Area
	None	No
Soil Type: (Source: BGS online soils map © NERC 2023)	Superficial/Drift	Bedrock
	None recorded	Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Seaford Chalk Formation, Newhaven Chalk Formation and Culver Chalk Formation - Chalk
Proposed site plan:	Boxted - Figure 04 - Infrastructure Layout A3 (04806-RES-LAY-DR-PT-004)	
Notes:	Woodlands W1 and W3 are listed within the DEFRA-hosted web resource (MAGIC) as designated Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland (ASNW),	
Report author:	Ian Howell BA (Hons), Dip Arb L4 (ABC), TechArborA	
Checked by:	Richard Hyett MSc, BSc (Hons), MICFor, MArborA	
Date of issue:	27.10.23	

### REPORT CONTENTS:

SECTION 1:	SUMMARY, SITE DETAILS & SURVEY FINDINGS
SECTION 2:	TREE SURVEY & CONSTRAINTS PLAN
SECTION 3:	COMBINED TREE RETENTION/REMOVAL & PROTECTION PLAN
SECTION 4:	TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE & SITE IMAGES
SECTION 5:	METHODOLOGY
SECTION 6:	DESIGN GUIDANCE AND GENERIC ADVICE
SECTION 7:	PRINCIPLES FOR TREE PROTECTION ON DEVELOPMENT SITES



## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Barton Hyett Associates Ltd have been instructed by RES Ltd to survey trees located on land west of Boxted, Bury St Edmonds ('the site') in accordance with the recommendations of British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - recommendations'.
- 1.2. The scope of the instruction was to inspect trees relevant to a detailed planning application for a solar farm at the site and provide written advice on how they inform feasibility and design options. The instruction also required an assessment of the potential impact (the Arboricultural Impact Assessment) of the proposed development on the site's arboricultural resource to be undertaken.

## 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.1. The site is located within a rural setting circa 15 miles south east of Glemsford.
- 2.2. The site consists of irregularly shaped agricultural fields, many of which had been heavily ploughed.
- 2.3. The site is bound to the east by Braggon's Hill and to the north, south and west by agricultural fields.
- 2.4. Access to the site was gained via the existing field access off Braggon's Hill to the east of the site.

## 3. TREE SURVEY FINDINGS

- 3.1. The survey included 70 trees, groups of trees, woodlands and hedgerows. These are summarised in terms of their quality in accordance with the recommendations of BS5837 below, and shown in more detail in the Tree Survey and Constraints Plan (**Section 2**) and within the Tree Survey Schedule (**Section 4**).

	Total	A - High quality trees whose retention is most desirable.	B - Moderate quality trees whose retention is desirable.	C - Low quality trees which could be retained but should not significantly constrain the proposal.	U - Very poor quality trees that should be removed unless they have high conservation value.
<b>Trees</b>	<b>34</b>	11	17	6	-
<b>Groups</b>	<b>8</b>	1	6	1	-
<b>Woodlands</b>	<b>3</b>	2	1	-	-
<b>Hedgerows</b>	<b>25</b>	-	15	10	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 1: summary of arboricultural features of each BS5837 quality category

## 4. KEY ARBORICULTURAL FEATURES

- 4.1. The vast majority of the site's arboricultural resource is of high- (category A) or moderate- (category B) quality and therefore desirable for retention.
- 4.2. The most important arboricultural features relevant to the site are the woodlands, named Park Wood (W1), Lownage Wood (W3) and Dripping Pan Wood (W2). Park Wood and Lownage Wood are the more prominent woodlands at the site's southern boundary that consist of early-mature to mature mixed native broadleaf species, including numerous mature English oak and Common beech. They are listed within the DEFRA-hosted web resource (MAGIC) as designated Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland (ASNW). It is, therefore, necessary to consider paragraph 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2023 (NPPF) and the associated Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England.
- 4.3. In relation to the Standing Advice, a 15m buffer has been applied to the ASNW.
- 4.4. Mature English oak trees are also present within some hedgerows across the site, particularly within the mature and outgrown hedgerow that is parallel to the Braggon's Hill.
- 4.5. The majority of these mature English oak trees have larger-diameter stems (some hollowing) and are of pollard/lapsed-pollard form. Where significant niche habitat potential was present, such as deadwood, hollowing of stems, cracks and fissures, the trees were assigned the BS5837:2012 subcategory '3'. This denotes a higher than typical 'conservation or heritage value', usually associated with veteran or ancient trees, prominent trees of pollarded form and trees with a significant amount of niche habitat potential. These trees are often to be the subject of further ecological evaluation.

## 5. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 5.1. The development proposal is for  
'Construction and Operation of a solar farm with all associated works, equipment, necessary infrastructure and biodiversity net gains'

## 6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 6.1. The impact assessment considers the effects of any tree loss required to implement the proposed development as well as any reasonably foreseeable potentially damaging activities proposed in the vicinity of retained trees. This is undertaken with reference to BS5837:2012 and considering the nature of the proposed development. Actual and potential impacts can include tree removal to facilitate the development, soil compaction in close proximity to trees, and direct impact damage to the canopy and roots of retained trees from construction activities. A summary of anticipated impacts resulting from the proposed development is provided below.

### Trees / hedgerows to be removed

- 6.2. The proposed development will not require the complete removal of any significant trees, tree groups, or hedgerows.
- 6.3. The site perimeter/security fence will need to be installed ahead of any other construction activity commencing at the site, in order for it to act as an effective tree protection barrier. The perimeter/security

fence is shown to pass through some hedgerows. It may be necessary to remove some 1m sections of hedgerow but it may however be practical to locally adjust the routing of the fence through existing small gaps within the hedgerows. In any case, removal of trees within the hedgerow that are over 150mm in diameter should be avoided where practical. The cumulative impact of this minimal hedgerow removal would remain very low and this loss could be readily mitigated by new tree and hedgerow planting at the site.

#### **Impacts on retained trees**

- 6.4. To the north of the woodland W1 the proposed access track does pass through the ASNW buffer for c.40m. This allows existing well-used farm access and an existing gap in the hedgerow to be utilised. This is not anticipated to result in any significant arboricultural impacts due to the historic agricultural usage of this area of the site.
- 6.5. No tree or hedgerow removal will be required at the site access and the the proposed solar farm development is not anticipated to result in any significant arboricultural impacts on retained trees, tree groups, woodlands or hedgerows at the site. The construction and operation tracks, positioning of PV panels and associated equipment such as inverters, battery storage, substation, security fencing and CCTV are largely remote from the remainder of the site's arboricultural resource and the associated Root Protection Areas (RPAs) and ASNW buffers. This is due to the proposed layout responding to the arboricultural constraints that have been identified.
- 6.6. The location and routing of connecting underground cables are not shown on the layout provided but these should avoid the RPAs and ASNW buffers of all Category B and Category A trees, tree groups and woodlands. Where practical gaps in hedgerows should also be utilised. If new gaps within hedgerows are required for open trench laying of cables then the gaps would need to be re-stocked with suitable native mixed species during the next planting season in order to fill them in.

#### **Conclusion**

- 6.7. The proposal is feasible from an arboricultural perspective, and if carefully implemented according to an approved arboricultural method statement there would be no or only a low potential negative impact on the retained trees. A combined draft Tree Retention/Removal and Protection Plan is included in **Section 3**.

## **7. TREE PROTECTION MEASURES**

- 7.1. The proposed site security fence (standard deer fence on timber posts), which is to be erected around the periphery of the site, will act as an effective tree protection barrier if erected before any construction works commence on site. This will mitigate the need to install BS 5837:2012 fencing along the outer perimeters of the site. However, the perimeter fencing will only protect trees located around the site periphery. Trees and hedgerows contained within the interior of the site could be impacted during the construction phase of the development and some will require protection.
- 7.2. In order for the site security fence to successfully operate as a tree protective barrier and create the Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ), it will be necessary to avoid the tracking of plant, machinery and driving

of site vehicles in between the security fence and trees/hedgerows. The area beyond the site security fence should be considered to be a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ).


- 7.3. Where more significant, high-value trees (of moderate- or high-quality) are located within the site interior, specific robust temporary tree protection barriers have been proposed (BS 5837:2012 Figure 3.).
- 7.4. The location of the temporary tree protection barriers, and the barrier specification proposed, is shown on the combined Tree Retention/Removal and Protection Plan in **Section 3**.

## **8. HEADS OF TERMS FOR AN ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT (AMS)**

- 8.1. BS5837:2012 (Figure 1) recommends that detailed/technical design of tree protection and arboricultural methodologies should be resolved and finalised following the approval of the feasibility of a scheme by the Local Planning Authority but before the construction phase commences.
- 8.2. Annex B and Table B.1 of BS5837:2012, an informative, advises that Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) Heads of Terms are a sufficient level of information in order to deliver tree-related information into the planning system. The table also advises that a detailed AMS might reasonably be required as a 'reserved matter' or planning condition.
- 8.3. In relation to the site, it is anticipated that arboricultural working methods are to be straightforward. A brief summary of the principles of tree protection on development sites is included in **Section 7**.
- 8.4. A draft, 'Heads of Terms' for an AMS is set out below:
  - Project arboriculturist – schedule of monitoring and supervision to be agreed upon with the applicant and LPA
  - Pre-commencement site meeting - to be attended by the project arboriculturist, client, site manager and other relevant parties. Project arboriculturist to ensure that all parties have copies of the tree protection plan and this report.
  - Tree removals and facilitation pruning - as shown on the Tree Retention and Removal Plan (TRR)
  - Erection of site perimeter fence and tree protection barriers as per the Tree Protection Plan (TPP)
  - Main construction phase - all tree protection measures shall remain in situ and intact for the duration of the construction phase, with no access for any machinery within the fenced tree protection areas.
  - Removal of tree protection barriers - only to occur following approval of site conditions by the project arboriculturist.
  - Final landscaping including tree planting.

## 9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.1. In conclusion, overall the arboricultural impacts of the development proposal on trees and hedgerows at the site are to remain very low.
- 9.2. Any minor loss of sections of hedgerow during installation of the security/perimeter fence can be mitigated by the proposed new tree and hedgerow planting at the site and with the implementation of the advice contained within this report the retained trees and ASNW can be adequately protected during construction activities to sustain their health and longevity.



Ian Howell *TechArborA* / Arboriculturist



Tree Ref	Species	Height (m)	LifeStage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m <sup>2</sup> )
T1	Oak (English)	17	M	13.2	547
T2	Oak (English)	10	EM	6.6	137
T3	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2.9	26
T4	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2	13
T5	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2.9	26
T6	Ash (Common)	10	SM	3.2	33
T7	Maple (Field)	10	SM	3.2	33
T8	Oak (English)	12	M	8.9	248
T9	Ash (Common)	12	SM	4.7	69
T10	Ash (Common)	8	EM	4.8	72
T11	Oak (English)	13	M	9	254
T12	Oak (English)	14	M	9.6	290
T13	Ash (Common)	13	M	9.6	290
T14	Oak (English)	12	EM	4.8	72
T15	Oak (English)	12	EM	5.4	92
T16	Oak (English)	18	M	12	452
T17	Oak (English)	13	M	8.4	222
T18	Oak (English)	17	M	13.2	547
T19	Oak (English)	18	M	10.8	350
T20	Ash (Common)	13	SM	5.3	88
T21	Oak (English)	13	M	10.8	366
T22	Oak (English)	17	M	9.6	290
T23	Apple (Crab)	5	M	3.6	41
T24	Apple (Crab)	6	EM	2.6	22
T25	Oak (English)	15	M	8.4	222
T26	Oak (English)	18	M	9.6	290
T27	Oak (English)	19	M	11.4	408
T28	Oak (English)	20	M	11.4	408
T29	Oak (English)	22	M	12	452
T30	Oak (English)	21	M	12.6	499
T31	Oak (English)	19	M	11.8	434
T32	Oak (English)	19	M	11.8	434
T33	Oak (English)	21	M	15	707
T34	Sycamore	12	SM	3	28

**KEY**

- Category A Tree - High quality (Retention highly desirable)
- Category A - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - High quality (Retention highly desirable)
- Category B Tree - Moderate quality (Retention desirable)
- Category B - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Moderate quality (Retention desirable)
- Category C Tree - Low quality (May be retained but should not constrain development)
- Category C - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Low quality (May be retained but should not constrain development)
- Category U Tree - Very low quality (Mostly unsuitable for retention)
- Category U - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Very low quality (Mostly unsuitable for retention)
- Root Protection Area (RPA) - Layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and soil volume to maintain the tree's viability
- Shrub mass/off-site tree/out of scope (OOS)
- Tree/Group/Hedgerow not on topographical survey. Location given is an estimate
- Ancient Tree / Woodland or Veteran Trees
  - Ancient tree/woodland or Veteran tree: Important trees that require special consideration
  - Ancient tree/woodland or Veteran tree buffer: As per published standing advice from Natural England and the Forestry Commission

Group Ref	Species	Height Range (m)	LifeStage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m <sup>2</sup> )
G1	Common ash	13-15	EM	3.6	41
G2	Common ash	13-15	EM	3.6	41
G3	English oak	15-17	M	10.2	327
G4	Common ash, field maple	8-10	EM	3.6	41
G5	Field maple, hazel	4-16	SM	4.8	72
G6	Field maple, hazel	6-5	M	6	113
G7	Field maple, blackthorn/elder	12-18	SM	4.2	55
G8	Field maple, common ash	10-12	M	6	113


WoodRef	Species	Height Range (m)	LifeStage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m <sup>2</sup> )
W1	English oak, common beech, common ash, field maple	5-25	M	11.4	408
W2	Common ash, English oak, cherry, blackthorn, field	5-20	EM	6.6	137
W3	English oak, common ash, Hastington elm, hazel	5-25	M	11.4	408

Hedge Ref	Species	Avg Height (m)	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m <sup>2</sup> )
H1	Field maple, blackthorn	4	EM	1.3	5
H2	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	5	EM	1.3	5
H3	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5
H4	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5
H5	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5
H6	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5
H7	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5
H8	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H9	Field maple	4	M	2.4	18
H10	Field maple, blackthorn, elm	5	EM	1.8	10
H11	Elm, blackthorn	3	Y	1.3	5
H12	Field maple, elm	6	EM	1.8	10
H13	Blackthorn, field maple, dogwood, hawthorn	4	M	1.8	10
H14	Elder, hawthorn	3	M	1.8	10
H15	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	3.5	M	1.8	10
H16	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H17	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H18	Blackthorn, field maple, common ash, hawthorn	4	M	1.8	10
H19	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H20	Blackthorn, elm, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H21	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	6	M	1.8	10
H22	Blackthorn, hawthorn	1.5	EM	1	3
H23	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3
H24	Blackthorn, field maple, hazel	6	M	1.8	10
H25	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	4	M	1.8	10

Label	Description
Dead ash stem	Dead ash stem (H 5m). Should be retained for habitat
Dead oak stem	Dead oak stem (H 5m). Should be retained for habitat
Dead oak stem	Dead oak stem (H 10m). Should be retained for habitat
Dead elm stem	Dead elm stem in southern section of the hedgerow
Dead oak tree	Large diameter stem should be retained for habitat
Off-site tree	Off site trees within neighbouring land, predominantly willow. Provides good screening for the site



Note: The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. This drawing should be interpreted with reference to the accompanying tree schedule and written advice



PROJECT TITLE: **Boxted Solar Scheme (4890)**

DRAWING TITLE: **Tree Survey Plan**

SCALE: **Scale: 1:2,250 @ A1** DRAWING NUMBER: **BHA\_4890\_01**

DRAWN BY: **TB** APPROVED BY: **IH** REVISION: **-** SHEET: **-** DATE: **25/04/2023**

COORDINATE SYSTEM / DATUM: **British National Grid / Newlyn Datum (AOD)**

CLIENT: **Pegasus Planning Group**

CREDITS: Crown copyright. All rights reserved. 2022 Emapiella License number 0100061264. Ordnance Survey Copyright License number 100054267.

**Barton Hyett Associates**  
Arboricultural Consultants

Tel: 01386 576166  
Address: Office 2E, Deer Park Business Centre, Ecdington, Pershore, Worcestershire, WR10 3DN

Tree Ref	Species	Height (m)	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)	Remove
T11	Oak (English)	17	M	13.2	547	
T12	Oak (English)	10	EM	8.6	137	
T3	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2.9	26	
T4	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2	13	
T5	Ash (Common)	7	SM	2.9	26	
T6	Ash (Common)	10	SM	3.2	33	
T7	Maple (Field)	10	SM	3.2	33	
T8	Oak (English)	12	M	8.9	248	
T9	Ash (Common)	12	SM	4.7	69	
T10	Ash (Common)	8	EM	4.8	72	
T11	Oak (English)	13	M	9	254	
T12	Oak (English)	14	M	9.6	290	
T13	Ash (Common)	13	M	9.6	290	
T14	Oak (English)	12	EM	4.8	72	
T15	Oak (English)	12	EM	5.4	92	
T16	Oak (English)	18	M	12	452	
T17	Oak (English)	13	M	8.4	222	
T18	Oak (English)	17	M	13.2	547	
T19	Oak (English)	18	M	10.8	350	
T20	Ash (Common)	13	SM	5.3	88	
T21	Oak (English)	13	M	10.8	366	
T22	Oak (English)	17	M	9.6	290	
T23	Apple (Crab)	5	M	3.6	41	
T24	Apple (Crab)	6	EM	2.6	22	
T25	Oak (English)	15	M	8.4	222	
T26	Oak (English)	16	M	9.6	290	
T27	Oak (English)	19	M	11.4	408	
T28	Oak (English)	20	M	11.4	408	
T29	Oak (English)	22	M	12	452	
T30	Oak (English)	21	M	12.6	499	
T31	Oak (English)	19	M	11.8	434	
T32	Oak (English)	19	M	11.8	434	
T33	Oak (English)	21	M	15	797	
T34	Sycamore	12	SM	9	26	

### KEY

- Category A Tree - High quality (Retention highly desirable)
- Category A - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - High quality (Retention highly desirable)
- Category B Tree - Moderate quality (Retention desirable)
- Category B - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Moderate quality (Retention desirable)
- Category C Tree - Low quality (May be retained but should not constrain development)
- Category C - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Low quality (May be retained but should not constrain development)
- Category U Tree - Very low quality (Mostly unsuitable for retention)
- Category U - Hedgerow, Group, Woodland - Very low quality (Mostly unsuitable for retention)
- Root Protection Area (RPA) - Layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and soil volume to maintain the tree's viability
- Shrub mass/off-site trees/out of scope (OOS)
- Tree/Group/Hedgerow not on topographical survey. Location given is an estimate
- Tree / Hedgerow / Group to be removed

#### Ancient Tree / Woodland or Veteran Trees

- Ancient tree/woodland or Veteran tree: Important trees that require special consideration
- Ancient tree/woodland or Veteran tree buffer: As per published standing advice from Natural England and the Forestry Commission

#### Statutory Protection


- Tree Preservation Order (TPO): Trees under statutory protection. No tree works to be undertaken without specific consent of or by relevant exception
- The site may be within a designated Conservation Area which restricts tree works. Please see attached advice and guidance.

#### Development / Design Guidance

- Recommended Development Area: Area considered appropriate for development in arboricultural terms having considered all arboricultural constraints
- Recommended buffer to development: Appropriate offset from development having considered all arboricultural constraints
- Photo images: Images of key arboricultural features at the site

#### Protection Measures

- Tree Protection Barrier
- Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) - No work to occur within CEZ without prior approval of Project Arboriculturist and/or LPA. All ground levels to be maintained as existing
- All weather information notices to read Construction Exclusion Zone - No Entry A3 in size. To be attached to tree protection barriers at regular intervals
- Security fence (Acting as tree protection)




Group Ref	Species	Height Range	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)	Remove
G1	Common ash	15-15	EM	3.6	41	
G2	Common ash	13-15	EM	3.6	41	
G3	English oak	15-17	M	10.2	327	
G4	Common ash, field maple	8-10	EM	3.6	41	
G5	Field maple, hazel	4-16	SM	4.8	72	
G6	Field maple, hazel	6-8	M	6	113	
G7	Field maple, blackthorn/elder	12-18	SM	4.2	55	
G8	Field maple, common ash	10-12	M	6	113	

WoodRef	Species	Height Range	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)	Remove
W1	English oak, common beech, common ash, field	5-25	M	11.4	408	
W2	Common ash, English oak, cherry, blackthorn	5-20	EM	8.6	137	
W3	English oak, common ash, Huntingdon elm	5-25	M	11.4	408	

Hedge Ref	Species	Avg. Height (m)	Life Stage	RPA Radius (m)	RPA (m2)	Remove
H1	Field maple, blackthorn	4	EM	1.3	5	
H2	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	5	EM	1.3	5	
H3	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5	
H4	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5	
H5	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5	
H6	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	4	M	1.3	5	
H7	Field maple, blackthorn, hawthorn	2	EM	1.3	5	
H8	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3	
H9	Field maple	4	M	2.4	18	
H10	Field maple, blackthorn, elm	5	EM	1.8	10	
H11	Elm, blackthorn	9	M	1.3	5	
H12	Field maple, elm	8	EM	1.8	10	
H13	Blackthorn, field maple, dogwood, hawthorn	4	M	1.8	10	
H14	Elder, hawthorn	3	M	1.8	10	
H15	Blackthorn, field maple, hawthorn	3.5	M	1.8	10	
H16	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3	
H17	Blackthorn, goat willow, hawthorn	2.5	EM	1	3	
H18	Blackthorn, field maple, common ash, hawthorn	4	M	1.8	10	



Note: The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. This drawing should be interpreted with reference to the accompanying tree schedule and written advice



PROJECT TITLE: **Boxted Solar Scheme (4890)**

DRAWING TITLE: **Tree Retention and Removal Plan**  
Red line boundary since updated - please refer to Figure 4 Revision 2

SCALE: **1:2,250 @ A1** DRAWING NUMBER: **BHA\_4890\_02**

DRAWN BY: **TB** APPROVED BY: **IH** REVISION: **-** SHEET: **-** DATE: **16/10/2023**


COORDINATE SYSTEM / DATUM: **British National Grid / Newlyn Datum (AOD)**

CLIENT: **Pegasus Planning Group**

CREDITS: Crown copyright. All rights reserved. 2023 Emapias Licence number 0100061264. Ordnance Survey Copyright Licence number 100054267.

**Barton Hyett Associates**  
Arboricultural Consultants  
Tel: 01386 576166  
Address: Office 2E, Deer Park Business Centre, Edington, Pershore, Worcestershire, WR10 3DN

Tree Protection Barrier - Barrier to be erected prior to the commencement of works on site and not to be altered or removed until works are complete (cross check with specific requirements of any relevant planning conditions).



**INDIVIDUAL TREES**

Ref	Species	On/off site	Top Height (m)	No. of Stems	Est diam?	Calc. / Actual Stem Dia. (mm)	Crown radii (m) N-E-S-W	Avg. low crown height (m)	1st branch ht (m)	1st branch dir.	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	RPA m <sup>2</sup>
T1	Oak (English)	On	17.0	1	Yes	1100	9.0-9.0-8.0-8.0	5.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature hedgerow oak of pollard form. Ditch to the north	Good	Good	40+	A3	13.2	547.0
T2	Oak (English)	On	10.0	1	Yes	550	6.0-7.0-4.0-5.0	4.0	4.0	None	EM	None	Hedgerow oak of pollard form. Ditch to the east	Good	Good	40+	B3	6.6	137.0
T3	Ash (Common)	On	7.0	6	Yes	240	5.0-4.0-4.0-4.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	2.9	26.0
T4	Ash (Common)	On	7.0	3	Yes	170	2.0-2.0-2.0-2.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	2.0	13.0
T5	Ash (Common)	On	7.0	6	Yes	240	5.0-4.0-4.0-4.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	2.9	26.0
T6	Ash (Common)	On	10.0	3	Yes	270	5.0-5.0-5.0-5.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	3.2	33.0
T7	Maple (Field)	On	10.0	2	Yes	270	5.0-5.0-5.0-5.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	3.2	33.0
T8	Oak (English)	On	12.0	2	Yes	740	7.0-7.0-6.0-6.0	4.0	2.0	E	M	None	Hedgerow oak of low pollard form. Ditch to the north	Good	Good	40+	B1	8.9	248.0
T9	Ash (Common)	On	12.0	3	Yes	390	5.0-6.0-5.0-5.0	4.0	3.0	None	SM	None	Hedgerow ash. Ditch to the north	Good	Good	40+	B1	4.7	69.0
T10	Ash (Common)	On	8.0	1	Yes	400	6.0-5.0-5.0-6.0	4.0	3.0	None	EM	None	Hedgerow ash of stunted form	Good	Good	40+	B1	4.8	72.0



Ref	Species	On/off site	Top Height (m)	No. of Stems	Est diam?	Calc. / Actual Stem Dia. (mm)	Crown radii (m) N-E-S-W	Avg. low crown height (m)	1st branch ht (m)	1st branch dir.	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	RPA m <sup>2</sup>
T11	Oak (English)	On	13.0	1	Yes	750	9.0-8.0-7.0-7.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Hedgerow oak of pollard form. Reduced vitality	Good	Good	40+	B1	9.0	254.0
T12	Oak (English)	On	14.0	1	Yes	800	9.0-9.0-9.0-9.0	4.0	4.0	S	M	None	Hedgerow oak. Some hollowing in stems	Good	Good	40+	B3	9.6	290.0
T13	Ash (Common)	On	13.0	1	Yes	800	7.0-6.0-6.0-5.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Hedgerow ash. Some hollowing in stems	Good	Good	40+	B3	9.6	290.0
T14	Oak (English)	On	12.0	1	Yes	400	4.0-5.0-4.5-5.0	4.0	4.0	None	EM	None	Hedgerow oak. Reduced vitality	Good	Good	40+	B1	4.8	72.0
T15	Oak (English)	On	12.0	1	Yes	450	6.0-6.0-6.0-6.0	4.0	4.0	E	EM	None	Hedgerow oak	Good	Good	40+	B1	5.4	92.0
T16	Oak (English)	On	18.0	1	Yes	1000	7.0-7.0-8.0-8.0	5.0	4.0	W	M	None	Mature hedgerow oak with a large stem diameter; reduced vitality and deadwood throughout crown	Fair	Fair	20+	B3	12.0	452.0
T17	Oak (English)	On	13.0	1	Yes	700	8.0-8.0-8.0-8.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Hedgerow oak of pollard form. Ditch to the east	Good	Good	40+	B3	8.4	222.0
T18	Oak (English)	On	17.0	1	Yes	1100	10.0-11.0-10.0-11.0	5.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature hedgerow oak of pollard form. Ditch to the north	Good	Good	40+	A3	13.2	547.0
T19	Oak (English)	On	18.0	1	Yes	880	8.0-9.0-10.0-9.0	3.0	4.0	S	M	None	Mature woodland edge oak located at the edge of the ASNW. Ditch to the south	Good	Good	40+	A2	10.6	350.0
T20	Ash (Common)	On	13.0	4	Yes	440	6.0-6.0-5.0-5.0	4.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice ash. Ditch to the north	Good	Good	40+	B1	5.3	88.0
T21	Oak (English)	On	13.0	1	Yes	900	6.0-5.5-6.5-5.0	5.0	4.0	N	M	None	Mature hedgerow oak with a large diameter hollowing stem	Fair	Good	40+	B1	10.8	366.0

Ref	Species	On/off site	Top Height (m)	No. of Stems	Est diam?	Calc. / Actual Stem Dia. (mm)	Crown radii (m) N-E-S-W	Avg. low crown height (m)	1st branch ht (m)	1st branch dir.	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	RPA m <sup>2</sup>
T22	Oak (English)	On	17.0	1	Yes	800	7.0-6.0-6.5-6.5	5.0	4.0	SE	M	None	Mature hedgerow oak	Good	Good	40+	B1	9.6	290.0
T23	Apple (Crab)	On	5.0	1	Yes	300	4.0-3.0-3.0-4.0	1.0	0.5	None	M	None	Mature hedgerow tree	Good	Good	40+	B1	3.6	41.0
T24	Apple (Crab)	On	6.0	1	Yes	220	3.0-3.0-3.0-4.0	2.0	2.0	None	EM	None	Early mature hedgerow tree	Good	Good	40+	B1	2.6	22.0
T25	Oak (English)	On	15.0	1	Yes	700	8.0-8.0-8.0-8.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Hedgerow oak of pollard form. Existing access track to the east	Good	Good	40+	B1	8.4	222.0
T26	Oak (English)	On	18.0	1	Yes	800	8.0-9.0-9.0-8.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A1	9.6	290.0
T27	Oak (English)	On	19.0	1	Yes	950	8.0-9.0-9.0-8.0	4.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A1	11.4	408.0
T28	Oak (English)	On	20.0	1	Yes	950	8.0-9.0-9.0-8.0	4.0	4.0	N	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A1	11.4	408.0
T29	Oak (English)	On	22.0	1	Yes	1000	9.0-9.0-9.0-8.0	4.0	5.0	N	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A1	12.0	452.0
T30	Oak (English)	On	21.0	1	Yes	1050	8.0-9.0-8.0-8.0	4.0	2.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; Ditch to the east	Good	Good	40+	A1	12.6	499.0
T31	Oak (English)	On	19.0	1	Yes	980	5.0-7.0-8.0-8.0	5.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; located beyond the hedgerow at the highway edge. Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A3	11.8	434.0

Ref	Species	On/off site	Top Height (m)	No. of Stems	Est diam?	Calc. / Actual Stem Dia. (mm)	Crown radii (m) N-E-S-W	Avg. low crown height (m)	1st branch ht (m)	1st branch dir.	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	RPA m <sup>2</sup>
T32	Oak (English)	On	19.0	1	Yes	980	8.0-9.0-6.0-8.0	5.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; located beyond the hedgerow at the highway edge. Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A3	11.8	434.0
T33	Oak (English)	On	21.0	1	Yes	1300	9.0-10.0-10.0-10.0	5.0	4.0	None	M	None	Mature and prominent oak; located beyond the hedgerow at the highway edge. Ditch to the west	Good	Good	40+	A3	15.0	707.0
T34	Sycamore	On	12.0	10	Yes	250	5.0-5.0-5.0-5.0	3.0	0.5	None	SM	None	Hedgerow coppice tree growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C1	3.0	28.0

### GROUPS OF TREES

Ref	Species	On/off site	Height range (m)	No. of trees	Est diam?	Max stem diam (mm)	Av. Crown radius (m)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
G1	Common ash	On	13-15	3	Yes	300.0	5.0	3.0	EM	None	Hedgerow coppice trees	Good	Fair	40+	B2	3.6
G2	Common ash	On	13-15	3	Yes	300.0	5.0	3.0	EM	None	Hedgerow coppice trees	Good	Fair	40+	B2	3.6
G3	English oak	On	15-17	2	Yes	850.0	9.0	4.0	M	None	Mature and prominent hedgerow oaks x2 of uniform age and condition.	Good	Good	40+	A2	10.2
G4	Common ash; field maple	On	8-10	4	Yes	300.0	5.0	3.0	EM	None	Hedgerow coppice trees growing above hedge height	Good	Fair	40+	C2	3.6
G5	Field maple; hazel	On	4-16	5	Yes	400.0	5.0	1.0	SM	None	Stand of mature field maple at the edge of the ASNW	Good	Fair	40+	B2	4.8
G6	Field maple; hazel	On	6-8	10	Yes	500.0	5.0	1.0	M	None	Mature coppice hedgerow trees	Good	Good	40+	B2	6.0

Ref	Species	On/off site	Height range (m)	No. of trees	Est diam?	Max stem diam (mm)	Av. Crown radius (m)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
G7	Field maple; blackthorn;elder	On	12-18	20	Yes	350.0	5.0	1.0	SM	None	Stand of mature field maple at the edge of the ASNW	Good	Fair	40+	<b>B2</b>	4.2
G8	Field maple; common ash	On	10-12	3	Yes	500.0	5.0	1.0	M	None	Mature coppice hedgerow trees	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	6.0

**HEDGES**

Ref	Species	On/off site	Av. Height (m)	Av. width (m)	Av. Stem diam (mm)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
H1	Field maple; blackthorn	On	4.0	4	100	0.1	EM	Dense and unmaintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.3
H2	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	5.0	4	100	0.1	EM	Dense and unmaintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.3
H3	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	4.0	4	100	0.1	M	Dense and unmaintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.3
H4	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	4.0	4	100	0.1	M	Dense and unmaintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.3
H5	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	4.0	4	100	0.1	M	Unmaintained hedgerow; some gaps along its length	Good	Good	40+	<b>C2</b>	1.3
H6	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	4.0	4	100	0.1	M	Unmaintained hedgerow; some gaps along its length	Good	Good	40+	<b>C2</b>	1.3
H7	Field maple; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	nan	4	150	0.1	EM	Dense and unmaintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.8
H8	Blackthorn; field maple; hawthorn	On	2.5	4	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow; some gaps along its length; bramble establishing throughout	Good	Fair	20+	<b>C2</b>	1.0
H9	Field maple	On	4.0	5	200	0.5	M	Mature coppice hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	<b>B3</b>	2.4
H10	Field maple; blackthorn; elm	On	5.0	5	150	0.1	EM	Mature and outgrown hedgerow. Some dead elm stems at the northern end where the hedge is of poor condition	Good	Fair	40+	<b>B2</b>	1.8
H11	Elm; blackthorn	On	3.0	2	100	0.1	Y	Section of hedge is in poor condition; predominantly dead elm stems	Poor	Poor	<10	<b>C2</b>	1.3

Ref	Species	On/off site	Avg. Height (m)	Avg. width (m)	Avg. Stem diam (mm)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)
H12	Field maple; elm	On	6.0	5	150	0.1	EM	Mature and outgrown hedgerow. Some dead elm stems at the southern end where the hedge is of poor condition	Good	Fair	40+	B2	1.8
H13	Blackthorn; field maple; dogwood; hawthorn	On	4.0	5	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; unmaintained	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8
H14	Elder; hawthorn	On	3.0	4	150	0.1	M	Mature hedgerow trees but gaps of up to 10m along its length	Fair	Fair	20+	C2	1.8
H15	Blackthorn; field maple; hawthorn	On	3.5	5	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; unmaintained	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8
H16	Blackthorn; goat willow; hawthorn	On	2.5	3	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow	Good	Fair	20+	C2	1.0
H17	Blackthorn; goat willow; hawthorn	On	2.5	3	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow	Good	Fair	20+	C2	1.0
H18	Blackthorn; field maple; common ash; hawthorn	On	4.0	5	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; side flailed only. Maple and ash establishing above the hedge along its length	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8
H19	Blackthorn; goat willow; hawthorn	On	2.5	4	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow	Good	Fair	20+	C2	1.0
H20	Blackthorn; elm; hawthorn	On	2.5	4	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow; some gaps along its length; bramble establishing throughout	Good	Fair	20+	C2	1.0
H21	Blackthorn; field maple; hawthorn	On	6.0	6	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; unmaintained; Maple establishing above the hedge along its length	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8
H22	Blackthorn; hawthorn	On	1.5	2	80	0.1	EM	Dense and well maintained hedgerow	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.0
H23	Blackthorn; goat willow; hawthorn	On	2.5	3	80	0.1	EM	Unmaintained thorn hedgerow	Good	Fair	20+	C2	1.0
H24	Blackthorn; field maple; hazel	On	6.0	5	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; predominantly hazel and maple coppice; unmaintained	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8
H25	Blackthorn; field maple; hawthorn	On	4.0	5	150	0.1	M	Dense and mature hedgerow; unmaintained	Good	Good	40+	B2	1.8

**WOODLAND**

Ref	Species	On/off site	Height range (m)	No. of trees	Est diam?	Max stem diam (mm)	Av. Crown radius (m)	Avg. low crown height (m)	Life Stage	Special importance	General Observations	Health & vitality	Structural condition	Estimated Remaining Contribution (Years)	BS5837 Category	RPA Radius (m)	ASNW or ARW buffer (m)
W1	English oak; common beech; common ash; field maple; hazel; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	5-25	1500	Yes	950.0	8.0	2.0	M	ASNW	Mature broadleaf woodland with good species diversity. Many mature oak and beech within the woodland. Ditch runs along the northern and western woodland edge	Good	Good	40+	A2	11.4	13.0
W2	Common ash; English oak; cherry; blackthorn; field maple; hawthorn	On	5-20	1500	Yes	550.0	5.0	1.0	EM	None	Broadleaf woodland at the site boundary	Good	Good	40+	B2	6.6	-
W3	English oak; common ash; Huntingdon elm; hazel; blackthorn; hawthorn	On	5-25	1500	Yes	950.0	8.0	2.0	M	ASNW	Mature broadleaf woodland with good species diversity. Many mature oak within the woodland. Ditch runs along the northern woodland edge	Good	Good	40+	A2	11.4	13.0



IMAGE 1: T21, prominent and mate English oak tree, Category B1



IMAGE 2: G7, with W3 (ASNW) located beyond.



IMAGE 3: W3, ASNW, Category A3



IMAGE 4: T18, English oak tree of lapsed pollard form, Category A3



IMAGE 5: Mature and prominent English oak, Category A3 / A1



IMAGE 6: ASNW, W1, Category A2

- The tree survey was carried out with reference to the methodology set out in BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.
- Trees were surveyed individually or as groups where it was considered that they had grown together to form cohesive arboricultural features either aerodynamically (trees that provide companion shelter), visually (e.g. avenues or screens) or culturally (including for biodiversity). However, where it was considered that there was an arboricultural need to differentiate between attributes trees within groups and / or woodlands were also surveyed as individuals.
- The full tree survey findings are recorded in the following tree survey schedule.
- Within the tree survey schedule, each surveyed TREE (T), GROUP (G), HEDGEROW (H), WOODLAND (W) or SHRUB MASS on or adjacent to the site is given a reference number which refers to its position on the tree survey and constraints plan.
- TREE SPECIES are listed by common name.

The **DIMENSIONS** taken are:

- STEM-No. Indicates the number of main stems (i.e. whether the trunk divides at or below 1.5m; (Used in the calculation of RPA.) "m-s" = Multi-stemmed.
- STEM DIAMETER (measured in millimetres), obtained from the girth measured at approx. 1.5m. For trees with 2 to 5 sub-stems a notional figure is derived from the sum of their cross-sectional areas. For multi-stemmed trees, the notional diameter may be estimated on the basis of the average stem size x the number of stems. (A notional diameter may be estimated where measurement is not possible.)
- HEIGHT (measured in metres), recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- The CROWN SPREAD, taken at the four cardinal points to derive an accurate representation of the tree crown, recorded up to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to up the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- CROWN CLEARANCES are expressed both as existing height above ground level of first significant branch along with its direction of growth (e.g. 2.5m-N), and also in terms of the overall crown e.g. the average height of the crown above ground level. Measurements are recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- ESTIMATES. Where any measurement has had to be estimated, due to inaccessibility for example, this is indicated by a "#" suffix to the measurement as shown in the tree survey schedule.

**LIFE STAGE** is defined as follows:

- Y Young: Normally stake dependent, establishing trees. Should be growing fast, usually primarily increasing in height more than spread but as yet making limited impact upon the landscape.
- SM Semi-mature: Established young trees, normally of good vigour and still increasing in height but beginning to spread laterally. Beginning to make an impact upon the local landscape and environment. Semi-Mature (still capable of being transplanted without preparation, up to 30cm girth and not yet sexually mature).

- EM Early-mature: Not yet having reached 75% of expected mature size. Established young trees, normally of good vigour and still increasing in height but beginning to spread laterally. Beginning to make an impact upon the local landscape and environment.
- M Mature: Well-established trees, still growing with some vigour but tending to fill out and increase spread. Bark may be beginning to crack and fissure. In the middle half of their safe, useful life expectancies.
- LM Late-Mature: In full maturity but possibly beyond mature and in a state of natural decline). Still retaining some vigour but any growth is slowing.
- A Ancient: A tree that has passed beyond maturity and is old/aged compared with other trees of the same species. Typically having a very wide trunk and a small canopy.

#### PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION (HEALTH & VITALITY):

Essentially a snapshot of the general health of the tree based upon its general appearance, it's apparent vigour and the presence or absence of symptoms associated with poor health, physiological stress etc. (Fungal infections may be recorded here but decay giving rise to structural weakness would be recorded under 'Structural Condition' – see next parameter):

- Good: No significant health issues.
- Fair: Indications of slight stress or minor disease (e.g. the presence of minor dieback/deadwood or of epicormic shoot growth).
- Poor: Significant stress or disease noted; larger areas of dieback than above.
- Dead: (or Moribund).

#### STRUCTURAL CONDITION:

Defects affecting the structural stability of the tree including decay, significant dead wood, root-plate instability or significant damage to structural roots, weak forks (e.g. those where bark is included between the members) etc.

Classified as:

- Good: No obvious structural defects: basically sound.
- Fair: Minor, potential or incipient defects.
- Poor: Significant defect(s) likely to lead to actual failure in the medium to long-term.
- Dead: (or Moribund).

#### ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION:

An estimate of the length of time in years that a tree might be expected to continue to make a useful contribution to the locality at an acceptable level of risk (based on an assumption of continued routine maintenance):

- Less than 10 years
- 10+ years
- 20+ years
- 40+ years



**SPECIAL IMPORTANCE:**

Trees that are particularly notable as high value trees such as ancient trees/woodland or veteran trees. Such trees may be regarded as the principal arboricultural features of a site and pose a significant constraint to potential development.

An *ancient* tree is one that has passed beyond maturity and is very old compared with other trees of the same species. Very few trees reach the ancient life-stage.

*Veteran* trees are often very old but not necessarily so; they may be regarded as ‘survivors’ that have developed some of the characteristic features of an ancient tree but have not necessarily lived as long. All ancient trees are veterans but not all veteran trees are ancient.

An ancient woodland is an area that has been wooded continuously since at least 1600 AD. It includes ancient semi-natural woodland (ASNW), plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS) and ancient replanted woodland (ARW)

**QUALITY CATEGORY:**

Trees are classed as category U, A, B or C, based on criteria given in BS5837:2012; summary definitions as follows (see BS5837 for further details). Categories A, B and C are further characterised by the use of sub-categories, which attempt to identify what aspect of the tree is the main source of its perceived value, These are:

- (1) arboricultural qualities
- (2) landscape qualities, and
- (3) cultural, historic or ecological/conservation qualities.

Examples of these qualities for each of the three categories are given below, although these are indicative only.

Note: This is NOT a health and safety classification; the classification does not take into account any requirement for remedial tree care or ongoing maintenance apart from that which may affect the trees’ general suitability for retention.

**CATEGORY A: HIGH QUALITY:**

Trees or groups whose retention should be given a particularly high priority within the design process. Normally with an expected useful life expectancy of at least 40 years.

- A1: Notably fine specimens; rare or unusual specimens; essential component trees within groups, semi-formal or formal plantings (e.g. dominant trees within an avenue etc.).
- A2: Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as landscape features.
- A3: Trees, groups or woodlands of particular significance by virtue of their conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood pasture.)

**CATEGORY B: MODERATE QUALITY:**

Trees or groups of some importance with a likely useful life expectancy in excess of 20 years. Their retention would be desirable; selective removal of certain individuals may be acceptable but only after full consideration of all alternative courses of action.

- B1: Fair quality but not exceptional; good specimens showing some impairment (e.g. remediable defects, minor storm damage or poor past management.)
- B2: Acceptable trees situated such as to have little visual impact within the wider locality. Also numbers of trees, perhaps in groups or woodlands, whose value as landscape features is greater collectively than would warrant as individuals (such that the selective removal of an individual would not impact greatly upon the trees’ overall, collective value).
- B3: Trees, groups or woodlands with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits.

**CATEGORY C: LOW QUALITY:**

Trees or groups of rather low quality, although potentially capable of retention for at least approx. 10 years. Also small trees with stems below 15cm diameter.

Potentially retainable, but not of sufficient value to be regarded as a significant planning constraint.

- C1: Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or of significantly impaired condition.
- C2: Trees offering only low or short-term landscape benefits; also secondary specimens within groups or woodlands whose loss would not significantly diminish their landscape value.
- C3: Trees with extremely limited conservation or other cultural benefit.

**CATEGORY U:**

Trees likely to prove to be unsuitable for retention for longer than 10 years should any significant increase in site usage arise as a result of development.

E.g. dead or moribund trees; those at risk of collapse or in terminal decline; trees that will be left unstable by other essential works such as the removal of nearby category U trees; trees infected by pathogens that could materially affect other trees; low quality trees that are suppressing better specimens.

(Category U trees may have conservation values that it might be desirable to preserve. This category may also include trees that should be removed irrespective of any development proposals.)

**ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA):**

These are normally represented as a circle centred on the base of each tree stem with a radius of 12 times stem diameter, measured at 1.5m above ground level. The shape of the RPA may be altered where site conditions dictate that there are sound reasons to do so.

**VETERAN OR ANCIENT TREE BUFFER (VTB/ATB)**

In line with the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England this is a buffer zone (in metres) around an ancient or veteran tree that should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5m from the edge of the tree’s canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree’s stem diameter.

**ANCIENT WOODLAND BUFFER (FOR ASNW, PAWS OR ARW)**

In line with the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England this is a buffer zone of at least 15 metres to avoid root damage. Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, a larger buffer zone may be required.

## THE IMPORTANCE OF TREES

### Wider benefits:

There is a growing body of evidence that trees bring a wide range of benefits to the places people live.

Some *Economic* benefits of trees include:

- Trees can increase property values
- As trees grow larger, the lift they give to property values grows proportionately
- They can improve the environmental performance of buildings by reducing heating and cooling costs, thereby cutting bills
- Mature landscapes with trees can be worth more as development sites
- Trees create a positive perception of a place for potential property buyers
- Urban trees improve the health of local populations, reducing healthcare costs

Some *Social* benefits of trees include:

- Trees help create a sense of place and local identity
- They benefit communities by increasing pride in the local area
- They can create focal points and landmarks
- They have a positive impact on people's physical and mental health
- They can have a positive impact on crime reduction

Some *Environmental* benefits of trees include:

- Urban trees reduce the 'urban heat island effect' of localised temperature extremes
- They provide shade, making streets and buildings cooler in summer
- They help remove dust and particulates from the air
- They help to reduce traffic noise by absorbing and deflecting sound
- They help to reduce wind speeds
- By providing food and shelter for wildlife they help increase biodiversity
- They can reduce the effects of flash flooding by slowing the rate at which rainfall reaches the ground
- They can help remediate contaminated soil

### On new development sites:

Trees bring many benefits to new development. Where retained successfully they can form important and sustainable elements of green infrastructure, contribute to urban cooling and reduce energy demands in buildings. Their importance is acknowledged in relation to adaptation to the effects of climate change. Other benefits brought by trees include:

- increasing property values;
- visual amenity
- softening, complementing and adding maturity to built form
- displaying seasonal change
- increasing wildlife opportunities in built-up areas
- contributing to screening and shade
- reducing wind speed and turbulence

## NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY

The National Planning Policy Framework 2023 (NPPF paragraph 180) states that, when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principle:

*c) 'development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists.'*

In this respect the following definitions apply:

*'Ancient woodland: An area that has been wooded continuously since at least 1600 AD. It includes ancient semi-natural woodland and plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS)', and*

*'Ancient or veteran tree: A tree which, because of its age, size and condition, is of exceptional biodiversity, cultural or heritage value. All ancient trees are veteran trees. Not all veteran trees are old enough to be ancient, but are old relative to other trees of the same species. Very few trees of any species reach the ancient life-stage.'*

*Note: Further information from the National Planning Policy Guidance Suite and Standing Advice is provided in the design guidance section.*

Other paragraphs of the NPPF 2023 of relevance to this report are:

Paragraph 131: *'Trees make an important contribution to the character and quality of urban environments, and can also help mitigate and adapt to climate change. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that new streets are tree-lined, that opportunities are taken to incorporate trees elsewhere in developments (such as parks and community orchards), that appropriate measures are in place to secure the long-term maintenance of newly-planted trees, and that existing trees are retained wherever possible. Applicants and local planning authorities should work with highways officers and tree officers to ensure that the right trees are planted in the right places, and solutions are found that are compatible with highways standards and the needs of different users.'*

Paragraph 174: *'Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:*

*b) recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services – including the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland.'*

## **STATUTORY CONTROLS**

### Statutory tree protection

Works to trees which are covered by Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) or are within a Conservation Area (CA) require permission or consent from the Local Planning Authority. Where information is available on any Statutory designations such as this they are identified within the summary table in Section 1 and on the Tree Survey and Constraints Plan at Section 2.

Notwithstanding specific exceptions and in general terms, a TPO prevents the cutting down, uprooting, topping, lopping, wilful damage or wilful destruction of protected trees or woodlands without the prior written consent of the LPA.

Penalties for contravention of a TPO tend to reflect the extent of damage caused but can, in the event of a tree being destroyed, result in a fine of up to £20,000 if convicted in a Magistrates' Court, or an unlimited fine if the matter is determined by the Crown Court.

Similarly, and again notwithstanding specific exceptions, it is an offence to carry out any works to a tree in a Conservation Area with a trunk diameter greater than 75mm diameter at 1.5 height without having first provided the LPA with 6 weeks written notification of intent to carry out the works.

On many non-residential sites (excluding specific exemptions) there is also a statutory restriction relating to tree felling that relates to quantities of timber that can be removed within set time periods. In basic

terms, it is an offence to remove more than 5 cubic metres of timber in any one calendar quarter without having first obtained a felling licence from the Forestry Commission.

Any proposed tree works that are planned to be carried out on site must be carried out in accordance with the statutory controls outlined. Therefore, we recommend that a further check is made with the LPA before any tree works are carried out.

### Statutory Wildlife Protection

Although preliminary visual checks from ground level of likely wildlife habitats are made at the time of surveying, detailed ecological assessments of wildlife habitats are not made by the arboriculturist and fall outside of the scope for this report.

Trees which contain holes, splits, cracks and cavities could potentially provide a habitat for protected species such as bats in addition to birds and small mammals. It is advised that in some instances specialist ecological advice may be required. This may result in tree works being carried out following a detailed climbing inspection to the tree to ensure that protected species or their nests/roosts are not disturbed. If any are found, the site manager, site owner or consulting arboriculturist should be informed and appropriate action taken as recommended by the appointed Ecologist or the relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Organisation (SNCO): Natural England, Scottish Natural Heritage or Natural Resources Wales.

It is advised that tree/hedgerow works are carried out with the understanding that birds will generally nest in trees, hedges and shrubs between March and August. This time period only provides an indication of likely nesting times and as such diligence is required when undertaking tree works at all times.

Irrespective of the time of year and other than any actions approved under General Licence, it is an offence to intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bird or to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest or eggs of any wild bird. Ideally, tree operations should be avoided during the likely bird nesting period. However, any tree works should always only be carried out following a preliminary visual check of the vegetation.

For information, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2010, form the basis of the statutory legislation for flora and fauna in England and Wales. A different legislative framework applies in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

Any proposed tree works that are planned to be carried out on site must be carried out in accordance with any relevant statutory controls, outlined above.

DESIGN GUIDANCE

Approach

The approach adopts the guidelines set out in the British Standard BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations. The process is broken down to coordinate with the key elements within both the RIBA Plan of Work (2013) and British Standard 5837:2012 as set out in the table below:

Information Stage	RIBA Stage	BS5837:2012
Stage A – Tree Survey	2: Concept	4: Feasibility
Stage B – Arboricultural Impact Assessment	3: Developed design	5: Proposals
Stage C – Arboricultural Method Statement	4: Technical design	6: Technical Design
Stage D – Arboricultural Site Supervision	5: Construction	7: Demolition and construction

A hierarchical approach is adopted in order to achieve optimum use of the site and location of built structures. This is set out below:

Avoid

The starting point of Site layout design should be to avoid the RPA of retained trees and provide suitable clearance from above ground constraints [tree canopies]. Where possible building lines should be at least 2m outside the RPA to provide working space for construction. However, protection measures can be taken if such clearance is not achievable.

Mitigate

Where intrusion within the RPA is unavoidable then its impact on the tree can be mitigated by specialist measures:

Foundations that avoid trenching e.g. screw piles, suspended floor slabs or casting at ground level for lightweight structures such as bin and cycle stores.

Limited use may be made for parking, drives or hard surfaces within the root protection areas, subject to advice from a qualified arboriculturist. Cellular confinement systems that enable hard surfaces to be built above existing soil levels are acceptable methods subject to site-specific soil conditions.

Service runs that cannot be routed outside the RPA(s) can be installed by, for example, thrust boring, directional drilling, air excavation or hand digging. These operations often require supervision by the project arboriculturist.

Compensate

Replacement planting can ensure the continuity of tree cover where tree removal is unavoidable or desirable. Off-site provision may be considered in some circumstances but this will require negotiation with the local planning authority.

Considerations:

For proposed residential developments, consideration must be given to numerous factors future tree growth and orientation.

Tree constraints

Root Protection Areas:

With reference to BS5837:2012, a root protection area (RPA) is defined as “a layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree’s viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure should be treated as a priority”. **“The default position [when considering design layout in relation to RPAs] should be that structures are located outside the RPAs of trees to be retained”.**

BS5837:2012 states (4.6.2) that, “where pre-existing site conditions or other factors indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, a polygon of equivalent area should be produced.” The BS goes on to state that, “modifications to the shape of the RPA should reflect a soundly based arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution,” and that any deviation from the original circular plot should take into account:

- Morphology and disposition of roots;
- topography and drainage;

- soil type and structure;
- the likely tolerance of the tree to root damage/disturbance.

#### Additional buffer zones beyond the RPA:

The following text is taken from the Standing Advice produced by the Forestry Commission and Natural England as included in the National Planning Policy Guidance:

‘A buffer zone’s purpose is to protect ancient woodland and individual ancient or veteran trees. The size and type of buffer zone should vary depending on the scale, type and impact of the development’.

#### Ancient woodland buffer:

‘For ancient woodlands, you should have a buffer zone of at least 15 metres to avoid root damage. Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, you’re likely to need a larger buffer zone. For example, the effect of air pollution from development that results in a significant increase in traffic’.

#### Ancient and veteran tree buffer:

‘A buffer zone around an ancient or veteran tree should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5m from the edge of the tree’s canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree’s diameter’.

#### Above ground:

Above ground constraints posed by trees describe the capacity for trees to have an overbearing or dominating effect on new developments; usually post occupancy. Typical above ground constraints include a number or combination of inconveniences including shading, branch spread, movement of trees during strong winds and so on. If not adequately considered, above ground constraints can lead to repeated requests to fell or heavily prune retained and protected trees.

#### Shade:

Adverse shading and blocked views from windows raise concerns for incoming residents, which may lead to pressure to fell or remove trees in the future. Wherever possible it is advisable to arrange fenestration away from tree canopies to lessen the conflict, or increase window size to accommodate ambient light.

Conversely, appropriate designed development can use existing or new trees to create necessary and welcome shade and screening.

As part of the adopted approach the above considerations and constraints are assessed cumulatively in order to provide clear and site-specific advice on the areas of a site most suitable for the location of development.

Dependent on the site and nature of the proposed development, the Tree Survey and Constraints Plans may show the following:

*Recommended Developable area* - an advisory area defined in order to minimise arboricultural impacts using standard approaches to construction. Restricting proposed development to this area will limit the risk of harm to retained trees and of the Local Planning Authority objecting to the proposed development. It may be possible to propose development outside of this area but specific ‘low impact’ construction techniques may be needed recommended.

*Recommended Buffer to development* - similar to the Recommended Developable Area but defined as a line marking a suitable buffer to retained trees. More commonly used on large sites or sites where the presence of trees is localised.

#### **Tree Opportunities**

Depending on the scale of developments existing trees can often provide opportunities to enhance the existing arboricultural resource of a site by bringing it into good management or by putting in place remedial measures e.g. soil amelioration.

Appropriately designed new tree planting is extremely important in maintaining healthy and sustainable tree populations. For the reasons highlighted, new trees can bring many benefits to new developments. It is critical to the establishment of new tree planting that the locations, species and specification of new trees is appropriate. Subsequently the sourcing of high-quality stock, suitable planting and the provision of post planting maintenance are essential to allow new trees to establish and to allow them to mature.

### HOW TREE DAMAGE CAN OCCUR

#### Above the ground

Damage can occur as a result of knocks and scuffs, breakages of branches and/or tree trunks. This is often but not always associated with machine operations, groundworks excavations, tele handlers, high sided vehicles and crane use. Other forms of above ground damage include fixings to trunk and unauthorised cutting back of branches. Wounds will harm a tree's health and shorten its life by letting in disease-causing organisms.

#### Below the ground

It is often not appreciated that the majority of most tree roots are generally located within the top 600mm of the ground. On this basis it needs to be understood that damage to roots can occur in three ways:

- Root severance can occur as a result of, for example, soil stripping during site clearance or excavations.
- Root dieback and death can result from compaction of the soil. Compaction can occur as a result of vehicle weight, weight of stored materials or increased pedestrian access. Compaction crushes out soil pore space and prevents tree respiration from occurring (respiration requires gas exchange between the ground and the atmosphere). Compacted soil is denser and therefore inhibits/prevents any further new root growth.
- Pollution of the soil with chemicals such as oil or cement washings can destroy the soil environment, making it inhospitable for the tree cause causing it stress.

The effects of these impacts can be disfiguring to a tree's appearance and also weaken a tree making it more liable to attack by pest and diseases. In addition, root damage or death results in corresponding decline above the ground with dieback occurring within the tree crown.

The effects of damage to trees generally take some time to become fully apparent. In many cases, damaged trees decline slowly after the completion of a new development, until they eventually need to be removed due to ill health.

Tree protection barriers and load distributing 'no-dig' paths are specified in order to prevent soil compaction from taking place.

### GENERAL SITE RULES FOR TREE PROTECTION

Do not independently carry out any activity that is at odds with the site scheme of tree protection. This is contained within an approved Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) and accompanying Tree Protection Plan.

In simple terms: do not carry out any work within any Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) without prior liaison with the Project Arboriculturist and written authorisation from the Local Planning Authority.

#### Within the CEZ:

- No mixing of cement
- No soil/turf stripping, raising/lowering of ground levels (unless advised), deposit or excavation of soil or rubble
- No excavations for services or installation of services
- No storage of materials, machinery fuel, chemicals or other materials of any other description
- No parking/use of tracked or wheeled machinery
- No siting of temporary structures including hard standing areas, portaloos, site huts
- No lighting of fires or disposal of liquids
- Fires on site should be avoided if possible. Where they are unavoidable, they must not be lit in a position where heat could damage foliage or branches. Fires must be a minimum of 20m from the trunk of any retained tree or the centre line of any hedgerow to be retained
- No signs, cables, fixtures or fittings of any other description shall be attached to any part of a retained tree



# CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE - NO ENTRY

## TREE PROTECTION FENCING

THIS FENCE MUST BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED TREE PROTECTION PLANS AND ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT.

TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECTS OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER.  
CONTRAVENTION CAN RESULT IN BREACH OF PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.  
(TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990)

FOR ALL ENQUIRIES REGARDING TREES AT THIS DEVELOPMENT  
PLEASE CALL 01386 576161 OR EMAIL [ENQUIRIES@BARTON-HYETT.CO.UK](mailto:ENQUIRIES@BARTON-HYETT.CO.UK)

 **Barton Hyett Associates**  
Arboricultural Consultants